SHB 2237 - H AMD 909 By Representative Taylor

On page 21, after line 5, insert the following:

- 2 "Sec. 3. RCW 11.130.215 and 2022 c 243 s 8 are each amended to read as follows:
 - (1) After a hearing under RCW 11.130.195, the court may appoint a guardian for a minor, if appointment is proper under RCW 11.130.185, dismiss the proceeding, or take other appropriate action consistent with this chapter or law of this state other than this chapter.
 - (2) In appointing a guardian under subsection (1) of this section, the following rules apply:
 - (a) The court shall appoint a person nominated as guardian by a parent of the minor in a probated will or other record unless the court finds the appointment is contrary to the best interest of the minor. Any "other record" must be a declaration or other sworn document and may include a power of attorney or other sworn statement as to the care, custody, or control of the minor child.
 - (b) If multiple parents have nominated different persons to serve as guardian, the court shall appoint the nominee whose appointment is in the best interest of the minor, unless the court finds that appointment of none of the nominees is in the best interest of the minor.
 - (c) If a guardian is not appointed under (a) or (b) of this subsection, the court shall appoint the person nominated by the minor if the minor is twelve years of age or older unless the court finds that appointment is contrary to the best interest of the minor. In that case, the court shall appoint as guardian a person whose appointment is in the best interest of the minor.
 - (3) In the interest of maintaining or encouraging involvement by a minor's parent in the minor's life, developing self-reliance of the minor, or for other good cause, the court, at the time of appointment of a guardian for the minor or later, on its own or on motion of the minor or other interested person, may create a limited guardianship by limiting the powers otherwise granted by this article to the

- 1 guardian. Following the same procedure, the court may grant 2 additional powers or withdraw powers previously granted.
 - (4) The court, as part of an order appointing a guardian for a minor, shall state rights retained by any parent of the minor, which shall preserve the parent-child relationship through an order for parent-child visitation and other contact, unless the court finds the relationship should be limited or restricted under RCW 26.09.191 or section 2 of this act; and which may include decision making regarding the minor's health care, education, or other matter, or access to a record regarding the minor.
 - (5) An order granting a guardianship for a minor must state that each parent of the minor is entitled to notice that:
- 13 (a) The guardian has delegated custody of the minor subject to quardianship;
- 15 (b) The court has modified or limited the powers of the guardian; 16 or
 - (c) The court has removed the guardian.
 - (6) An order granting a guardianship for a minor must identify any person in addition to a parent of the minor which is entitled to notice of the events listed in subsection (5) of this section.
- 21 (7) An order granting guardianship for a minor must direct the 22 clerk of the court to issue letters of office to the guardian 23 containing an expiration date which should be the minor's eighteenth 24 birthday.
 - Sec. 4. RCW 26.09.187 and 2007 c 496 s 603 are each amended to read as follows:
 - (1) DISPUTE RESOLUTION PROCESS. The court shall not order a dispute resolution process, except court action, when it finds that any limiting factor under RCW 26.09.191 or section 2 of this act applies, or when it finds that either parent is unable to afford the cost of the proposed dispute resolution process. If a dispute resolution process is not precluded or limited, then in designating such a process the court shall consider all relevant factors, including:
- 35 (a) Differences between the parents that would substantially 36 inhibit their effective participation in any designated process;
- 37 (b) The parents' wishes or agreements and, if the parents have 38 entered into agreements, whether the agreements were made knowingly 39 and voluntarily; and

4

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

17

18

19

20

25

2627

28

2930

31

32

- 1 (c) Differences in the parents' financial circumstances that may 2 affect their ability to participate fully in a given dispute 3 resolution process.
 - (2) ALLOCATION OF DECISION-MAKING AUTHORITY.

5

7

8

12

17

26

27

2829

30

- (a) AGREEMENTS BETWEEN THE PARTIES. The court shall approve agreements of the parties allocating decision-making authority, or specifying rules in the areas listed in RCW 26.09.184(5)(a), when it finds that:
- 9 (i) The agreement is consistent with any limitations on a 10 parent's decision-making authority mandated by RCW 26.09.191 and 11 section 2 of this act; and
 - (ii) The agreement is knowing and voluntary.
- 13 (b) SOLE DECISION-MAKING AUTHORITY. The court shall order sole decision-making to one parent when it finds that:
- 15 (i) A limitation on the other parent's decision-making authority 16 is mandated by RCW 26.09.191 or section 2 of this act;
 - (ii) Both parents are opposed to mutual decision making;
- 18 (iii) One parent is opposed to mutual decision making, and such 19 opposition is reasonable based on the criteria in (c) of this 20 subsection.
- (c) MUTUAL DECISION-MAKING AUTHORITY. Except as provided in (a) and (b) of this subsection, the court shall consider the following criteria in allocating decision-making authority:
- 24 (i) The existence of a limitation under RCW 26.09.191 or section 25 2 of this act;
 - (ii) The history of participation of each parent in decision making in each of the areas in RCW 26.09.184(5)(a);
 - (iii) Whether the parents have a demonstrated ability and desire to cooperate with one another in decision making in each of the areas in RCW 26.09.184(5)(a); and
- 31 (iv) The parents' geographic proximity to one another, to the 32 extent that it affects their ability to make timely mutual decisions.
 - (3) RESIDENTIAL PROVISIONS.
- (a) The court shall make residential provisions for each child 34 which encourage each parent to maintain a loving, stable, and 35 nurturing relationship with the child, consistent with the child's 36 family's social 37 developmental level and the and economic circumstances. The child's residential schedule shall be consistent 38 with RCW 26.09.191 and section 2 of this act. Where the limitations 39 of RCW 26.09.191 or section 2 of this act are not dispositive of the 40 H-3182.1/24Code Rev/CC:jlb 3

- child's residential schedule, the court shall consider the following factors:
- 3 (i) The relative strength, nature, and stability of the child's relationship with each parent;
- 5 (ii) The agreements of the parties, provided they were entered 6 into knowingly and voluntarily;
 - (iii) Each parent's past and potential for future performance of parenting functions as defined in RCW 26.09.004(((3))) (2), including whether a parent has taken greater responsibility for performing parenting functions relating to the daily needs of the child;
 - (iv) The emotional needs and developmental level of the child;
 - (v) The child's relationship with siblings and with other significant adults, as well as the child's involvement with his or her physical surroundings, school, or other significant activities;
 - (vi) The wishes of the parents and the wishes of a child who is sufficiently mature to express reasoned and independent preferences as to his or her residential schedule; and
- 18 (vii) Each parent's employment schedule, and shall make 19 accommodations consistent with those schedules.
 - Factor (i) shall be given the greatest weight.

- (b) Where the limitations of RCW 26.09.191 or section 2 of this act are not dispositive, the court may order that a child frequently alternate his or her residence between the households of the parents for brief and substantially equal intervals of time if such provision is in the best interests of the child. In determining whether such an arrangement is in the best interests of the child, the court may consider the parties geographic proximity to the extent necessary to ensure the ability to share performance of the parenting functions.
- (c) For any child, residential provisions may contain any reasonable terms or conditions that facilitate the orderly and meaningful exercise of residential time by a parent, including but not limited to requirements of reasonable notice when residential time will not occur.
- **Sec. 5.** RCW 26.09.194 and 2008 c 6 s 1045 are each amended to read as follows:
- 36 (1) A parent seeking a temporary order relating to parenting
 37 shall file and serve a proposed temporary parenting plan by motion.
 38 The other parent, if contesting the proposed temporary parenting
 39 plan, shall file and serve a responsive proposed parenting plan.

 Code Rev/CC:jlb

 4 H-3182.1/24

- Either parent may move to have a proposed temporary parenting plan 1 entered as part of a temporary order. The parents may enter an agreed 2 temporary parenting plan at any time as part of a temporary order. 3 The proposed temporary parenting plan may be supported by relevant 4 evidence and shall be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration 5 which shall state at a minimum the following:
 - (a) The name, address, and length of residence with the person or persons with whom the child has lived for the preceding twelve months;
 - (b) The performance by each parent during the last twelve months of the parenting functions relating to the daily needs of the child;
 - (c) The parents' work and child-care schedules for the preceding twelve months;
 - (d) The parents' current work and child-care schedules; and
 - (e) Any of the circumstances set forth in RCW 26.09.191 or section 2 of this act that are likely to pose a serious risk to the child and that warrant limitation on the award to a parent of temporary residence or time with the child pending entry of a permanent parenting plan.
 - (2) At the hearing, the court shall enter a temporary parenting order incorporating a temporary parenting plan which includes:
 - (a) A schedule for the child's time with each parent when appropriate;
 - (b) Designation of a temporary residence for the child;
 - (c) Allocation of decision-making authority, if any. Absent allocation of decision-making authority consistent with 26.09.187(2), neither party shall make any decision for the child other than those relating to day-to-day or emergency care of the child, which shall be made by the party who is present with the child;
 - (d) Provisions for temporary support for the child; and
 - (e) Restraining orders, if applicable, under RCW 26.09.060.
 - (3) A parent may make a motion for an order to show cause and the court may enter a temporary order, including a temporary parenting plan, upon a showing of necessity.
 - (4) A parent may move for amendment of a temporary parenting plan, and the court may order amendment to the temporary parenting plan, if the amendment conforms to the limitations of RCW 26.09.191 and section 2 of this act and is in the best interest of the child.

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28 29

30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

38

- 1 (5) If a proceeding for dissolution of marriage or dissolution of 2 domestic partnership, legal separation, or declaration of invalidity 3 is dismissed, any temporary order or temporary parenting plan is 4 vacated.
 - Sec. 6. RCW 26.09.260 and 2009 c 502 s 3 are each amended to read as follows:
 - (1) Except as otherwise provided in subsections (4), (5), (6), (8), and (10) of this section, the court shall not modify a prior custody decree or a parenting plan unless it finds, upon the basis of facts that have arisen since the prior decree or plan or that were unknown to the court at the time of the prior decree or plan, that a substantial change has occurred in the circumstances of the child or the nonmoving party and that the modification is in the best interest of the child and is necessary to serve the best interests of the child. The effect of a parent's military duties potentially impacting parenting functions shall not, by itself, be a substantial change of circumstances justifying a permanent modification of a prior decree or plan.
 - (2) In applying these standards, the court shall retain the residential schedule established by the decree or parenting plan unless:
 - (a) The parents agree to the modification;

- (b) The child has been integrated into the family of the petitioner with the consent of the other parent in substantial deviation from the parenting plan;
- (c) The child's present environment is detrimental to the child's physical, mental, or emotional health and the harm likely to be caused by a change of environment is outweighed by the advantage of a change to the child; or
- (d) The court has found the nonmoving parent in contempt of court at least twice within three years because the parent failed to comply with the residential time provisions in the court-ordered parenting plan, or the parent has been convicted of custodial interference in the first or second degree under RCW 9A.40.060 or 9A.40.070.
- (3) A conviction of custodial interference in the first or second degree under RCW 9A.40.060 or 9A.40.070 shall constitute a substantial change of circumstances for the purposes of this section.
- 38 (4) The court may reduce or restrict contact between the child 39 and the parent with whom the child does not reside a majority of the Code Rev/CC:jlb 6 H-3182.1/24

- time if it finds that the reduction or restriction would serve and protect the best interests of the child using the criteria in RCW 26.09.191 and section 2 of this act.
- (5) The court may order adjustments to the residential aspects of a parenting plan upon a showing of a substantial change in circumstances of either parent or of the child, and without consideration of the factors set forth in subsection (2) of this section, if the proposed modification is only a minor modification in the residential schedule that does not change the residence the child is scheduled to reside in the majority of the time and:
 - (a) Does not exceed twenty-four full days in a calendar year; or
- (b) Is based on a change of residence of the parent with whom the child does not reside the majority of the time or an involuntary change in work schedule by a parent which makes the residential schedule in the parenting plan impractical to follow; or
- (c) Does not result in a schedule that exceeds ninety overnights per year in total, if the court finds that, at the time the petition for modification is filed, the decree of dissolution or parenting plan does not provide reasonable time with the parent with whom the child does not reside a majority of the time, and further, the court finds that it is in the best interests of the child to increase residential time with the parent in excess of the residential time period in (a) of this subsection. However, any motion under this subsection (5)(c) is subject to the factors established in subsection (2) of this section if the party bringing the petition has previously been granted a modification under this same subsection within twentyfour months of the current motion. Relief granted under this section shall not be the sole basis for adjusting or modifying child support.
- (6) The court may order adjustments to the residential aspects of a parenting plan pursuant to a proceeding to permit or restrain a relocation of the child. The person objecting to the relocation of the child or the relocating person's proposed revised residential schedule may file a petition to modify the parenting plan, including a change of the residence in which the child resides the majority of the time, without a showing of adequate cause other than the proposed relocation itself. A hearing to determine adequate cause modification shall not be required so long as the request for relocation of the child is being pursued. In making a determination of a modification pursuant to relocation of the child, the court shall first determine whether to permit or restrain the relocation of 7

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28

29

30 31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38 39

- the child using the procedures and standards provided in RCW 26.09.405 through 26.09.560. Following that determination, the court shall determine what modification pursuant to relocation should be made, if any, to the parenting plan or custody order or visitation order.
 - (7) A parent with whom the child does not reside a majority of the time and whose residential time with the child is subject to limitations pursuant to RCW 26.09.191 ($(\frac{(2) \text{ or } (3)}{)}$) or section 2 of this act may not seek expansion of residential time under subsection (5)(c) of this section unless that parent demonstrates a substantial change in circumstances specifically related to the basis for the limitation.
 - (8) (a) If a parent with whom the child does not reside a majority of the time voluntarily fails to exercise residential time for an extended period, that is, one year or longer, the court upon proper motion may make adjustments to the parenting plan in keeping with the best interests of the minor child.
 - (b) For the purposes of determining whether the parent has failed to exercise residential time for one year or longer, the court may not count any time periods during which the parent did not exercise residential time due to the effect of the parent's military duties potentially impacting parenting functions.
 - (9) A parent with whom the child does not reside a majority of the time who is required by the existing parenting plan to complete evaluations, treatment, parenting, or other classes may not seek expansion of residential time under subsection (5)(c) of this section unless that parent has fully complied with such requirements.
 - (10) The court may order adjustments to any of the nonresidential aspects of a parenting plan upon a showing of a substantial change of circumstances of either parent or of a child, and the adjustment is in the best interest of the child. Adjustments ordered under this section may be made without consideration of the factors set forth in subsection (2) of this section.
 - (11) If the parent with whom the child resides a majority of the time receives temporary duty, deployment, activation, or mobilization orders from the military that involve moving a substantial distance away from the parent's residence or otherwise would have a material effect on the parent's ability to exercise parenting functions and primary placement responsibilities, then:

- (a) Any temporary custody order for the child during the parent's absence shall end no later than ten days after the returning parent provides notice to the temporary custodian, but shall not impair the discretion of the court to conduct an expedited or emergency hearing for resolution of the child's residential placement upon return of the parent and within ten days of the filing of a motion alleging an immediate danger of irreparable harm to the child. If a motion alleging immediate danger has not been filed, the motion for an order restoring the previous residential schedule shall be granted; and
- (b) The temporary duty, activation, mobilization, or deployment and the temporary disruption to the child's schedule shall not be a factor in a determination of change of circumstances if a motion is filed to transfer residential placement from the parent who is a military service member.
- (12) If a parent receives military temporary duty, deployment, activation, or mobilization orders that involve moving a substantial distance away from the military parent's residence or otherwise have a material effect on the military parent's ability to exercise residential time or visitation rights, at the request of the military parent, the court may delegate the military parent's residential time or visitation rights, or a portion thereof, to a child's family member, including a stepparent, or another person other than a parent, with a close and substantial relationship to the minor child for the duration of the military parent's absence, if delegating residential time or visitation rights is in the child's best interest. The court may not permit the delegation of residential time or visitation rights to a person who would be subject to limitations on residential time under RCW 26.09.191 or section 2 of this act. The parties shall attempt to resolve disputes regarding delegation of residential time or visitation rights through the dispute resolution process specified in their parenting plan, unless excused by the court for good cause shown. Such a court-ordered temporary delegation of a military parent's residential time or visitation rights does not create separate rights to residential time or visitation for a person other than a parent.
- (13) If the court finds that a motion to modify a prior decree or parenting plan has been brought in bad faith, the court shall assess the attorney's fees and court costs of the nonmoving parent against the moving party.

2

4

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

1314

1516

17

18

19

2021

22

23

2425

26

2728

29

30 31

32

33

3435

36

37

- 1 **Sec. 7.** RCW 26.09.520 and 2019 c 79 s 3 are each amended to read as follows: 2
- 3 The person proposing to relocate with the child shall provide his or her reasons for the intended relocation. There is a rebuttable presumption that the intended relocation of the child will be permitted. A person entitled to object to the intended relocation of 7 the child may rebut the presumption by demonstrating that the detrimental effect of the relocation outweighs the benefit of the change to the child and the relocating person, based upon the following factors. The factors listed in this section are not 10 weighted. No inference is to be drawn from the order in which the 11 12 following factors are listed:
 - (1)The relative strength, nature, quality, extent of involvement, and stability of the child's relationship with each parent, siblings, and other significant persons in the child's life;
 - (2) Prior agreements of the parties;
 - (3) Whether disrupting the contact between the child and the person seeking relocation would be more detrimental to the child than disrupting contact between the child and the person objecting to the relocation;
 - (4) Whether either parent or a person entitled to residential time with the child is subject to limitations under RCW 26.09.191 or section 2 of this act;
 - (5) The reasons of each person for seeking or opposing the relocation and the good faith of each of the parties in requesting or opposing the relocation;
 - (6) The age, developmental stage, and needs of the child, and the likely impact the relocation or its prevention will have on the child's physical, educational, and emotional development, taking into consideration any special needs of the child;
- 31 (7) The quality of life, resources, and opportunities available to the child and to the relocating party in the current and proposed 32 geographic locations; 33
 - (8) The availability of alternative arrangements to foster and continue the child's relationship with and access to the other parent;
- (9) The alternatives to relocation and whether it is feasible and 37 38 desirable for the other party to relocate also;
- 39 (10) The financial impact and logistics of the relocation or its prevention; and 40

5 6

8

9

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

34 35

- 1 (11) For a temporary order, the amount of time before a final decision can be made at trial.
 - Sec. 8. RCW 26.12.177 and 2011 c 292 s 7 are each amended to read as follows:
 - (1) All guardians ad litem appointed under this title must comply with the training requirements established under RCW 2.56.030(15), prior to their appointment in cases under Title 26 RCW, except that volunteer guardians ad litem or court-appointed special advocates may comply with alternative training requirements approved by the administrative office of the courts that meet or exceed the statewide requirements. In cases involving allegations of limiting factors under RCW 26.09.191 or section 2 of this act, the guardians ad litem appointed under this title must have additional relevant training under RCW 2.56.030(15) when it is available.
 - (2) (a) Each guardian ad litem program for compensated guardians ad litem shall establish a rotational registry system for the appointment of guardians ad litem under this title. If a judicial district does not have a program the court shall establish the rotational registry system. Guardians ad litem under this title shall be selected from the registry except in exceptional circumstances as determined and documented by the court. The parties may make a joint recommendation for the appointment of a guardian ad litem from the registry.
 - (b) In judicial districts with a population over one hundred thousand, a list of three names shall be selected from the registry and given to the parties along with the background information record as specified in RCW 26.12.175(3), including their hourly rate for services. Each party may, within three judicial days, strike one name from the list. If more than one name remains on the list, the court shall make the appointment from the names on the list. In the event all three names are stricken the person whose name appears next on the registry shall be appointed.
- 33 (c) If a party reasonably believes that the appointed guardian ad 34 litem is inappropriate or unqualified, charges an hourly rate higher 35 than what is reasonable for the particular proceeding, or has a 36 conflict of interest, the party may, within three judicial days from 37 the appointment, move for substitution of the appointed guardian ad 38 litem by filing a motion with the court.

4 5

- 1 (d) Under this section, within either registry referred to in (a)
 2 of this subsection, a subregistry may be created that consists of
 3 guardians ad litem under contract with the department of social and
 4 health services' division of child support. Guardians ad litem on
 5 such a subregistry shall be selected and appointed in state-initiated
 6 paternity cases only.
- 7 (e) The superior court shall remove any person from the guardian 8 ad litem registry who has been found to have misrepresented his or 9 her qualifications.
- 10 (3) The rotational registry system shall not apply to court-11 appointed special advocate programs.
- 12 **Sec. 9.** RCW 26.51.020 and 2021 c 215 s 143 and 2021 c 65 s 103 are each reenacted and amended to read as follows:
- The definitions in this section apply throughout this chapter unless the context clearly requires otherwise.
- 16 (1) "Abusive litigation" means litigation where the following 17 apply:
- 18 (a)(i) The opposing parties have a current or former intimate 19 partner relationship;
 - (ii) The party who is filing, initiating, advancing, or continuing the litigation has been found by a court to have committed domestic violence against the other party pursuant to: (A) An order entered under chapter 7.105 RCW or former chapter 26.50 RCW; (B) a parenting plan with restrictions based on RCW 26.09.191(((2)(a)(iii))) (4)(a)(iii); or (C) a restraining order entered under chapter 26.09, 26.26A, or 26.26B RCW, provided that the issuing court made a specific finding that the restraining order was necessary due to domestic violence; and
- 29 (iii) The litigation is being initiated, advanced, or continued 30 primarily for the purpose of harassing, intimidating, or maintaining 31 contact with the other party; and
 - (b) At least one of the following factors apply:
- (i) Claims, allegations, and other legal contentions made in the litigation are not warranted by existing law or by a reasonable argument for the extension, modification, or reversal of existing law, or the establishment of new law;
- 37 (ii) Allegations and other factual contentions made in the 38 litigation are without the existence of evidentiary support; or

21

22

2324

25

2627

28

- 1 (iii) An issue or issues that are the basis of the litigation 2 have previously been filed in one or more other courts or 3 jurisdictions and the actions have been litigated and disposed of 4 unfavorably to the party filing, initiating, advancing, or continuing 5 the litigation.
 - (2) "Intimate partner" is defined in RCW 7.105.010.
- (3) "Litigation" means any kind of legal action or proceeding 7 including, but not limited to: (a) Filing a summons, complaint, 8 demand, or petition; (b) serving a summons, complaint, demand, or 9 petition, regardless of whether it has been filed; (c) filing a 10 motion, notice of court date, note for motion docket, or order to 11 appear; (d) serving a motion, notice of court date, note for motion 12 docket, or order to appear, regardless of whether it has been filed 13 or scheduled; (e) filing a subpoena, subpoena duces tecum, request 14 for interrogatories, request for production, notice of deposition, or 15 16 other discovery request; or (f) serving a subpoena, subpoena duces 17 tecum, request for interrogatories, request for production, notice of 18 deposition, or other discovery request.
- 19 (4) "Perpetrator of abusive litigation" means a person who files, 20 initiates, advances, or continues litigation in violation of an order 21 restricting abusive litigation."
- 22 Correct the title.

<u>EFFECT:</u> Updates citations to RCW 26.09.191 to also include references to section 2 of the act given that some provisions of current RCW 26.09.191 are moved into a new section of law.

--- END ---